## ON A BOUNDARY PROPERTY OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

T. J. Kaczynski

Let D be the open unit disk in the plane, and let C be its boundary, the unit circle. If x is a point of C , then an arc at x is a simple arc $\gamma$ with one endpoint at x such that $\gamma-\{\mathrm{x}\} \subset \mathrm{D}$. If f is a function defined in D and taking values in a metric space $K$, then the set of curvilinear convergence of $f$ is
$\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C} \mid$ there exists an arc $\gamma$ at x and there exists
a point $p \in K$ such that $\lim f(z)=p\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z \rightarrow x \\
& z \in \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

J. E. McMillan proved that if $f$ is a continuous function mapping $D$ into the Riemann sphere, then the set of curvilinear convergence of f is of type $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma \delta}$ [2, Theorem 5]. In this paper we shall provide a simpler proof of this theorem than McMillan's, and we shall give a generalization and point out some of its corollaries.

Notation. If S is a subset of a topological space, $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ denotes the closure and $\mathrm{S}^{*}$ denotes the interior of $S$. Of course, when we speak of the interior of a subset of the unit circle, we mean the interior relative to the circle, not relative to the whole plane. Let $K$ be a metric space with metric $\rho$. If $x_{0} \in K$ and $r>0$, then

$$
S\left(r, x_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in K \mid \rho\left(x, x_{0}\right)<r\right\} .
$$

An arc of C will be called nondegenerate if and only if it contains more than one point.

LEMMA 1. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be a family of nondegenerate closed arcs of C . Then $\bigcup_{\mathrm{I} \in \mathscr{I}} \mathrm{I}-\bigcup_{\mathrm{I} \in \mathscr{I}} \mathrm{I}^{*}$ is countable.

Proof. Since $\bigcup_{\mathrm{I} \in \mathscr{I}} \mathrm{I}^{*}$ is open, we can write $\bigcup_{\mathrm{I} \in \mathscr{I}} \mathrm{I}^{*}=\bigcup_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{n}}$, where $\left\{\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a countable family of disjoint open arcs of C. If

$$
\mathrm{x}_{0} \in \bigcup_{\mathrm{I} \in \mathscr{F}} \mathrm{I}-\bigcup_{\mathrm{I} \in \mathscr{I}} \mathrm{I}^{*}
$$

then for some $I_{0} \in \mathscr{I}, x_{0}$ is an endpoint of $I_{0}$. For some $n, I_{0}^{*} \subset J_{n}$, so that $x_{0} \in \bar{J}_{n}$. But $x_{0} \notin J_{n}$, so that $x_{0}$ is an endpoint of $J_{n}$. Thus $\bigcup_{I \in \mathscr{I}} I-U_{I \in \mathscr{I}} I^{*}$ is contained in the set of all endpoints of the various $J_{n}$; this proves the lemma.

In what follows we shall repeatedly use Theorem 11.8 on page 119 in [3] without making explicit reference to it. By a cross-cut we shall always mean a cross-cut of D. Suppose $\gamma$ is a cross-cut that does not pass through the point 0 . If V is the component of $D-\gamma$ that does not contain 0 , let $L(\gamma)=\overline{\mathrm{V}} \cap \mathrm{C}$. Then $\mathrm{L}(\gamma)$ is a nondegenerate closed arc of C .

Suppose $\Omega$ is a domain contained in D-\{0\}. Let $\Gamma$ denote the family of all cross-cuts $\gamma$ with $\gamma \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \Omega$. Let

$$
\mathrm{I}(\Omega)=\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{L}(\gamma), \quad \mathrm{I}_{0}(\Omega)=\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{L}(\gamma)^{*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{acc}(\Omega)$ denote the set of all points on $C$ that are accessible by arcs in $\Omega$.
The following lemma is weaker than it could be, but there is no point in proving more than we need.

LEMMA 2. The set acc $(\Omega)-\mathrm{I}_{0}(\Omega)$ is countable.
Proof. By Lemma 1, $\mathrm{I}(\Omega)-\mathrm{I}_{0}(\Omega)$ is countable; therefore it will suffice to show that acc $(\Omega)-\mathrm{I}(\Omega)$ is countable. If acc $(\Omega)$ has fewer than two points, we are done. Suppose, on the other hand, that acc ( $\Omega$ ) has two or more points. If a $\epsilon$ acc ( $\Omega$ ), then there exists $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \epsilon \operatorname{acc}(\Omega)$ with $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{a}$. Let $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ be arcs at $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$, respectively, with

$$
\gamma \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \Omega, \quad \gamma^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \Omega
$$

Let p be the endpoint of $\gamma$ that lies in $\Omega, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ the endpoint of $\gamma^{\prime}$ that lies in $\Omega$. Let $\gamma^{\prime \prime} \subset \Omega$ be an arc joining p to $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$. The union of $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$, and $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ is an arc $\delta$ joining a to a'. By [4], there exists a simple arc $\delta^{\prime} \subset \delta$ that joins a to a'. Clearly, $\delta^{\prime}$ is a cross-cut with $\delta^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \Omega$ and a, a' $\epsilon \mathrm{L}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$. Thus a $\epsilon \mathrm{I}(\Omega)$, and so
$\operatorname{acc}(\Omega) \subset I(\Omega)$.
LEMMA 3. Suppose $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are domains contained in $\mathrm{D}-\{0\}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{0}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \cap \overline{\operatorname{acc}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{I}_{0}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \cap \overline{\operatorname{acc}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are not disjoint, then $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are not disjoint.
Proof. We assume $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are disjoint, and we derive a contradiction. Let a be a point in both of the two sets (1). Let $\gamma_{i}$ be a cross-cut with $\gamma_{i} \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \Omega_{\mathrm{i}}$ such that $a \in L\left(\gamma_{i}\right)^{*}(i=1,2)$. Let $U_{i}$ and $V_{i}$ be the components of $D-\gamma_{i}$, and (to be specific), let $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}$ be the component containing 0 . Note that $\gamma_{1} \cap \mathrm{D}$ and $\gamma_{2} \cap \mathrm{D}$ are disjoint.

Suppose $\gamma_{1} \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{V}_{2}$ and $\gamma_{2} \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{V}_{1}$. Then, since $\gamma_{1} \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \overline{\mathrm{U}}_{1}, \mathrm{U}_{1}$ has a point in common with $\mathrm{V}_{2}$. But $0 \in \mathrm{U}_{1} \cap \mathrm{U}_{2}$, so that $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ has a point in common with $\mathrm{U}_{2}$ also. Since $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ is connected, this implies that $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ has a common point with $\gamma_{2} \cap \mathrm{D}$, which contradicts the assumption that $\gamma_{2} \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{V}_{1}$. Therefore $\gamma_{1} \cap \mathrm{D} \not \subset \mathrm{V}_{2}$ or $\gamma_{2} \cap \mathrm{D} \not \subset \mathrm{V}_{1}$. We conclude that either $\gamma_{1} \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{U}_{2}$ or $\gamma_{2} \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{U}_{1}$. By symmetry, we may assume that $\gamma_{2} \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{U}_{1}$.

It is possible to choose a point $b \in L\left(\gamma_{1}\right)^{*}$ that is accessible by an arc in $\Omega_{2}$, because $a$ is in the closure of acc $\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$. Let $\gamma$ be a simple arc joining $b$ to a point of $\gamma_{2} \cap \mathrm{D}$, such that $\gamma-\{b\} \subset \Omega_{2}$. Then $\gamma-\{b\}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ are disjoint. Also, $\gamma-\{b\}$ contains a point of $U_{1}$ (namely, the point where $\gamma$ meets $\gamma_{2} \cap \mathrm{D}$ ); therefore $\gamma-\{b\} \subset U_{1}$. Hence $b \in \bar{U}_{1}$. Since $b \in L\left(\gamma_{1}\right)^{*}$, this is a contradiction.

THEOREM 1 (J. E. McMillan). Let K be a complete separable metric space, and let f be a continuous function mapping D into K . Let

$$
\mathrm{X}=\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C} \mid \text { there exists an arc } \gamma \text { at } \mathrm{x} \text { for which } \lim _{\substack{\mathrm{z} \rightarrow \mathrm{x} \\ \mathrm{z} \in \gamma}}^{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \text { exists }\} .}\right.
$$

Then X is of type $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma \delta}$.
Proof. Let $\left\{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable dense subset of K . Let $\{\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})\}_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\infty}$ be a counting of all sets of the form

$$
\left\{\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{it}} \left\lvert\, 1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}<\mathrm{r}<1\right. \text { and } \theta<\mathrm{t}<\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{\mathrm{n}}\right\}
$$

where $\theta$ is a rational number. Let $\{U(n, m, k, \ell)\}_{\ell=1}^{\infty}$ be a counting (with repetitions allowed) of the components of

$$
\mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~S}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m})
$$

(We consider $\emptyset$ to be a component of $\varnothing$.) Let

$$
\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{k}, \ell)=\operatorname{acc}[\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{k}, \ell)] .
$$

Set

$$
Y=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty} I_{0}(U(n, m, k, \ell)) \cap \overline{A(n, m, k, \ell)}
$$

Since $I_{0}(U(n, m, k, \ell))$ is open, it is of type $F_{\sigma}$. It follows that $Y$ is of type $F_{\sigma \delta}$.
I claim that $Y \subset X$. Take any $y \in Y$. For each $n$, choose $m[n], k[n], \ell[n]$ with
(2) $\quad y \in I_{0}(U(n, m[n], k[n], \ell[n])) \cap \overline{A(n, m[n], k[n], \ell[n])} \quad(n=1,2,3, \cdots)$.

For convenience, set $U_{n}=U(n, m[n], k[n], l[n])$. By (2) and Lemma 3, $U_{n}$ and $U_{n+1}$ have some point $z_{n}$ in common. For each $n$, we can choose an arc $\gamma_{n} \subset U_{n+1}$ with one endpoint at $z_{n}$ and the other at $z_{n+1}$. Then $\gamma_{n} \subset Q(n+1, m[n+1])$. Also,

$$
\mathrm{y} \in \overline{\mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{n}+1, \mathrm{~m}[\mathrm{n}+1], \mathrm{k}[\mathrm{n}+1], \ell[\mathrm{n}+1])} \subset \overline{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \subset \overline{\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{n}+1, \mathrm{~m}[\mathrm{n}+1])}
$$

and therefore each point of $\gamma_{\mathrm{n}}$ has distance less than $\frac{2 \pi+1}{\mathrm{n}+1}$ from y . Now $\frac{2 \pi+1}{\mathrm{n}+1} \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$; hence, if we set $\gamma=\{\mathrm{y}\} \cup \bigcup_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{\mathrm{n}}$, then $\gamma$ is an arc with one endpoint at y .

Since $U_{n}$ and $U_{n+1}$ have a point in common,

$$
\mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~S}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{n}]}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~S}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}+1}}, \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{n}+1]}\right)\right)
$$

have a common point, and hence

$$
\mathrm{S}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{n}]}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{S}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}+1}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{n}+1]}\right)
$$

have a common point. Therefore, if $\rho$ is the metric on $K$, then

$$
\rho\left(p_{k[n]}, p_{k[n+1]}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}}+\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}<\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}
$$

and therefore

$$
\rho\left(p_{k[n]}, p_{k[n+r]}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \rho\left(p_{k[n+i-1]}, p_{k[n+i]}\right)<\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{2^{n+i-2}}<\frac{1}{2^{n-2}}
$$

Thus $\left\{p_{k[n]}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and must converge to some point $p \in K$. Because

$$
\left.\gamma_{\mathrm{n}} \subset \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \subset \mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~S}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}+1}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{n}+1}\right]\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{n}] \overrightarrow{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{p}
$$

$\lim f(z)=p$. It is possible that $\gamma$ is not a simple arc, but by [4] we can replace $\gamma$ $\mathrm{z} \rightarrow \mathrm{y}$
$\mathrm{z} \in \gamma$
by a simple arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset \gamma$. Thus $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$, and we have shown that $\mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{X}$.
Suppose $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$. Let $\gamma_{0}$ be an arc at x such that f approaches a limit $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ along $\gamma_{0}$. Take any $n$. Choose $k$ with $p^{\prime} \in S\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}, p_{k}\right)$. Choose $m$ so that $x$ is in the interior of $\overline{\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})} \cap \mathrm{C}$. Then $\gamma_{0}$ has a subarc $\gamma_{0}^{\prime}$, with one endpoint at x , such that

$$
\gamma_{0}^{\prime}-\{x\} \subset Q(n, m) \cap f^{-1}\left(S\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}, p_{k}\right)\right)
$$

Hence, for some $\ell, x \in \operatorname{acc}[U(n, m, k, \ell)]=A(n, m, k, \ell)$. This shows that

$$
x \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty} A(n, m, k, \ell) .
$$

By Lemma 2, the set

$$
A(n, m, k, \ell)-I_{0}(U(n, m, k, \ell))=A(n, m, k, \ell)-\left[I_{0}(U(n, m, k, \ell)) \cap \overline{A(n, m, k, \ell)}\right]
$$ is countable. It follows by a routine argument that

$$
\bigcap_{n} \bigcup_{m, k, \ell} A(n, m, k, l)-\bigcap_{n} \bigcup_{m, k, \ell}\left[I_{0}(U(n, m, k, \ell)) \cap \overline{A(n, m, k, l)}\right]
$$

is countable. Because

$$
\bigcap_{n} \bigcup_{m, k, l}\left[I_{0}(U(n, m, k, \ell)) \cap \overline{A(n, m, k, l)}\right]=Y \subset X \subset \bigcap_{n} \bigcup_{m, k, l} A(n, m, k, \ell)
$$

the set $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ is countable, and therefore X is of type $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma \delta}$.
Before stating our generalization of the foregoing theorem, we must say a few words about spaces of closed sets. If $K$ is a bounded metric space with metric $\rho$, let $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{K})$ denote the set of all nonempty closed subsets of K. Hausdorff [1, page 146] defined a metric $\bar{\rho}$ on $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{K})$ by setting

$$
\bar{\rho}(A, B)=\max \left\{\sup _{a \in A} \operatorname{dist}(a, B), \sup _{b \in B} \operatorname{dist}(b, A)\right\},
$$

where $\operatorname{dist}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{E})$ denotes $\inf \rho(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{e})$. If K is compact, then $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{K})$ is a compact $\mathrm{e} \epsilon \mathrm{E}$ metric space with $\bar{\rho}$ as metric [1, page 150].

If $f$ maps $D$ into $K$ and if $\gamma$ is an arc at a point $x \in C$, we let $C(f, \gamma)$ denote the cluster set of f along $\gamma$; that is, we write

$$
\begin{gathered}
C(f, \gamma)=\left\{p \in K \mid \text { there exists a sequence }\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset \gamma \cap D\right. \\
\text { such that } \left.z_{n} \rightarrow x \text { and } f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow p\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

THEOREM 2. Let K be a compact metric space, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a closed subset of $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{K})$. Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}$ be a continuous function. Then
$\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C} \mid$ there exists an arc $\gamma$ at x and there exists
$\mathrm{E} \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{f}, \gamma) \subset \mathrm{E}\}$
is a set of type $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma \delta}$.
Proof. If $\varepsilon>0$ and $\mathrm{E} \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{K})$, let

$$
\mathscr{P}(\varepsilon, \mathrm{E})=\{\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{~K} \mid \text { there exists } \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{E} \text { with } \rho(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})<\varepsilon\}
$$

Note that $\mathscr{I}(\varepsilon, E)$ is open and that

$$
\mathrm{F} \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{~K}), \bar{\rho}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{~F})<\varepsilon \Rightarrow \mathrm{F} \subset \mathscr{P}(\varepsilon, \mathrm{E}) .
$$

Let $\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})\}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable dense subset of $\mathcal{E}$ (such a subset exists, because every compact metric space is separable). Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=\{x \in C \mid \text { there exist an arc } \gamma \text { at } x \text { and an } E \in \mathcal{E} \\
\text { such that } C(f, \gamma) \subset E\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\{Q(n, m)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be defined as in the proof of the preceding theorem. Let $\{\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{l})\}_{\ell=1}^{\infty}$ be a counting (with repetitions allowed) of the components of

$$
\mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathscr{P}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}) .
$$

Let $A(n, m, k, \ell)=\operatorname{acc}[U(n, m, k, \ell)]$, and set

$$
Y=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty} I_{0}(U(n, m, k, \ell)) \cap \overline{A(n, m, k, l)}
$$

Since $I_{0}(U(n, m, k, \ell))$ is open, it is of type $F_{\sigma}$. It follows that $Y$ is of type $F_{\sigma \delta}$.
I claim that $Y \subset X$. Take any $y \in Y$. For each $n$, choose $m[n], k[n], \ell[n]$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{I}_{0}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}[\mathrm{n}], \mathrm{k}[\mathrm{n}], \ell[\mathrm{n}])) \cap \overline{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}[\mathrm{n}], \mathrm{k}[\mathrm{n}], \ell[\mathrm{n}])} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $U_{n}=U(n, m[n], k[n], \ell[n])$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is compact, there exist a $P \in \mathcal{E}$ and some strictly ascending sequence $\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of natural numbers such that

$$
P\left(k\left[n_{j}\right]\right) \vec{j} P
$$

By (3) and Lemma 3, $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}+1}}$ have some point $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ in common. For each j , choose an arc $\gamma_{j} \subset \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}+1}}$ with one endpoint at $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and the other at $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}+1}$. Then $\gamma_{j} \subset \mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}+1}, \mathrm{~m}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}+1}\right]\right)$. Also,

$$
\mathrm{y} \in \overline{\mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}+1}, \mathrm{~m}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}+1}\right], \mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}+1}\right], \ell\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}+1}\right]\right)} \subset \overline{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}+1}} \subset \overline{\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}+1}, \mathrm{~m}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}+1}\right]\right)},
$$

and therefore each point of $\gamma_{j}$ has distance less than $\frac{2 \pi+1}{n_{j+1}}$ from y. Now $\frac{2 \pi+1}{n_{j+1}} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$; therefore, if we set $\gamma=\{y\} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{j}$, then $\gamma$ is an arc with one endpoint at y .

I claim that $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{f}, \gamma) \subset \mathrm{P}$. Take any $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{f}, \gamma)$. There exists a sequence $\left\{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}}\right\}_{\mathrm{s}=1}^{\infty}$ in $\gamma-\{\mathrm{y}\}$ such that $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{y}}$ and $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \vec{s}^{\mathrm{p}}$. Let $\varepsilon$ be an arbitrary positive number. Choose $\mathrm{j}_{0}$ so that $\bar{\rho}\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}\right]\right), \mathrm{P}\right)<\varepsilon / 3$ for all $\mathrm{j} \geq \mathrm{j}_{0}$. Choose $\mathrm{j}_{1}$ so that $j \geq j_{1}$ implies $1 / n_{j+1}<\varepsilon / 3$. We can choose an $s$ such that $w_{s} \in \gamma_{i}$ for some $i \geq j_{0}, j_{1}$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}}\right), \mathrm{p}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \in \mathrm{f}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \subset \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}+1}}\right) \subset \mathscr{S}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}+1}}, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right]\right)\right)
$$

and therefore we can choose a point $\mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right]\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}}\right), \mathrm{q}\right)<\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}+1}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{3} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, because $\bar{\rho}\left(P\left(k\left[n_{i+1}\right]\right), P\right)<\varepsilon / 3$, there exists some $q^{\prime} \in P$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}^{\prime}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together, (4), (5), and (6) show that $\rho\left(p, q^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$. Since $P$ is closed and $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, this proves that $p \in P$. Hence $C(f, \gamma) \subset P \in \mathcal{E}$. By [4], we can if necessary replace $\gamma$ by a simple arc $\gamma^{\prime} \subset \gamma$; it follows that $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$. Thus $\mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{X}$.

Now suppose $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$. Choose an arc $\gamma_{0}$ at x such that $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{f}, \gamma_{0}\right) \subset \mathbf{P}_{0}$ for some $P_{0} \in \mathcal{E}$. Take any $n$. Choose $k$ with $\bar{\rho}\left(P_{0}, P(k)\right)<1 / n$. Then

$$
\mathrm{P}_{0} \subset \mathscr{P}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})\right), \quad \text { hence } \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{f}, \gamma_{0}\right) \subset \mathscr{P}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})\right) .
$$

Choose $m$ so that $x$ is in the interior of $\overline{Q(n, m)} \cap C$.
If for each natural number $t$ there exists a point $z_{t}^{\prime} \in \gamma_{0} \cap S\left(\frac{1}{t}, x\right) \cap D$ with $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\prime} \notin \mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})\right)\right)$, then

$$
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{K}-\mathscr{P}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})\right)
$$

and since $\mathrm{K}-\mathscr{P}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})\right)$ is compact, there exist some a $\in \mathrm{K}-\mathscr{P}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})\right)$ and a subsequence $\left\{f\left(z_{t_{i}}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $f\left(z_{t_{i}}^{\prime}\right) \vec{i}$ a. But then $a \in C\left(f, \gamma_{0}\right)$, contrary to the relation $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{f}, \gamma_{0}\right) \subset \mathscr{S}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})\right)$. We conclude that there exists a natural number t for which

$$
\gamma_{0} \cap \mathrm{~S}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{x}\right) \cap \mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})\right)\right)
$$

It follows that $\gamma_{0}$ has a subarc $\gamma_{0}^{\prime}$ with one endpoint at x such that

$$
\gamma_{0}^{\prime}-\{\mathrm{x}\} \subset \mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathscr{P}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}) .
$$

Hence there exists an $\ell$ such that

$$
\mathrm{x} \in \operatorname{acc}[\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{k}, \ell)]=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{k}, \ell) .
$$

This shows that

$$
X \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty} A(n, m, k, \ell)
$$

By Lemma 2, the set

$$
A(n, m, k, \ell)-I_{0}(U(n, m, k, \ell))=A(n, m, k, \ell)-\left[I_{0}(U(n, m, k, \ell)) \cap \overline{A(n, m, k, \ell)}\right]
$$

is countable. It follows easily that
is countable. Since

$$
\bigcap_{n} \bigcup_{m, k, \ell}\left[I_{0}(U(n, m, k, \ell)) \cap \overline{A(n, m, k, l)}\right]=Y \subset X \subset \bigcap_{n} \bigcup_{m, k, l} A(n, m, k, \ell),
$$

$\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ must be countable. Thus X is the union of an $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma \delta^{-}}$-set and a countable set, and hence it is of type $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma \delta}$.

In each of the following four corollaries, let $f$ denote a continuous function mapping $D$ into the Riemann sphere.

COROLLARY 1 (J. E. McMillan). Let E be a closed subset of the Riemann sphere. Then the set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C} \mid \text { there exist an arc } \gamma \text { at } \mathrm{x} \text { and a point } \mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{E} \\
\text { such that } \left.\lim _{\mathrm{z} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{p}\right\} \\
\mathrm{z} \in \gamma
\end{gathered}
$$

is of type $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma \delta}$.
COROLLARY 2. Suppose $\mathrm{d} \geq 0$. Then the set
$\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C} \mid$ there exists an arc $\gamma$ at x such that
$[$ diameter $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{f}, \gamma)] \leq \mathrm{d}\}$
is of type $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma \delta}$.
COROLLARY 3. Let E be a closed subset of the Riemann sphere. Then the set

$$
\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C} \mid \text { there exists an arc } \gamma \text { at } \mathrm{x} \text { with } \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{f}, \gamma) \subset \mathrm{E}\}
$$

is of type $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma \delta}$.
COROLLARY 4. The set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C} \mid \text { there exists an arc } \gamma \text { at } \mathrm{x} \text { such that } \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{f}, \gamma) \\
\text { is an arc of a great circle }\}
\end{gathered}
$$

is of type $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma \delta}$.
We can obtain all these corollaries by taking $\mathcal{E}$ to be a suitable family of closed sets and applying Theorem 2. To prove Corollary 4, we need the fact that $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{f}, \gamma)$ is always connected. One could go on listing such corollaries ad infinitum, but we refrain.

It is interesting to note that in Corollary 1 it is not necessary to assume that E is closed. By combining Corollary 1 with Theorem 6 of [2], one can prove that the conclusion of Corollary 1 holds even if E is merely assumed to be of type $\mathrm{G}_{\delta}$.
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